

GOD IN THE DOCK

ESSAYS ON THEOLOGY AND ETHICS

by

C. S. LEWIS

edited by

WALTER HOOPER

NORTHWESTERN COLLEGE LIBRARY

MINNEAPOLIS 44328

MINNESOTA

WILLIAM B. EERDMANS PUBLISHING COMPANY
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN

Copyright © 1970 by The Trustees of the Estate of C. S. Lewis
All rights reserved
Library of Congress Catalog Card Number, 70-129851

Second Printing, March 1972

Printed in the United States of America

CONTENTS

Preface by Walter Hooper 7

PART I

1	Evil and God	21
2	Miracles	25
3	Dogma and the Universe	38
4	Answers to Questions on Christianity	48
5	Myth Became Fact	63
6	'Horrid Red Things'	68
7	Religion and Science	72
8	The Laws of Nature	76
9	The Grand Miracle	80
10	Christian Apologetics	89
11	Work and Prayer	<u>104</u>
12	Man or Rabbit?	108
13	On the Transmission of Christianity	114
14	'Miserable Offenders'	120
15	The Founding of the Oxford Socratic Club	126
16	Religion without Dogma?	129
17	Some Thoughts	147
18	'The Trouble with "X"...'	151
19	What Are We to Make of Jesus Christ?	156
20	The Pains of Animals	161
21	Is Theism Important?	172
22	Rejoinder to Dr Pittenger	177
23	Must Our Image of God Go?	184

4

ON THE READING
OF OLD BOOKS¹

THERE IS A STRANGE IDEA ABROAD THAT IN EVERY SUBJECT the ancient books should be read only by the professionals, and that the amateur should content himself with the modern books. Thus I have found as a tutor in English Literature that if the average student wants to find out something about Platonism, the very last thing he thinks of doing is to take a translation of Plato off the library shelf and read the *Symposium*. He would rather read some dreary modern book ten times as long, all about 'isms' and influences and only once in twelve pages telling him what Plato actually said. The error is rather an amiable one, for it springs from humility. The student is half afraid to meet one of the great philosophers face to face. He feels himself inadequate and thinks he will not understand him. But if he only knew, the great man, just because of his greatness, is much more intelligible than his modern commentator. The simplest student will be able to understand, if not all, yet a very great deal of what Plato said; but hardly anyone can understand some modern books on Platonism. It has always therefore been one of my main endeavours as a teacher to persuade the young that first-hand knowledge is not only more worth acquiring than second-hand knowledge, but is usually much easier and more delightful to acquire.

¹ This paper was originally written and published as an Introduction to St Athanasius' *The Incarnation of the Word of God*, trans. by A. Religious of C.S.M.V. (London, 1944).

ON THE READING OF OLD BOOKS

This mistaken preference for the modern books and this shyness of the old ones is nowhere more rampant than in theology. Wherever you find a little study circle of Christian laity you can be almost certain that they are studying not St Luke or St Paul or St Augustine or Thomas Aquinas or Hooker² or Butler,³ but M. Berdyaev⁴ or M. Maritain⁵ or Mr Niebuhr⁶ or Miss Sayers⁷ or even myself.

Now this seems to me topsy-turvy. Naturally, since I myself am a writer, I do not wish the ordinary reader to read no modern books. But if he must read only the new or only the old, I would advise him to read the old. And I would give him this advice precisely because he is an amateur and therefore much less protected than the expert against the dangers of an exclusive contemporary diet. (A new book is still on its trial and the amateur is not in a position to judge it. It has to be tested against the great body of Christian thought down the ages, and all its hidden implications (often unsuspected by the author himself) have to be brought to light. Often it cannot be fully understood without the knowledge of a good many other modern books.) If you join at eleven o'clock a conversation which began at eight you will often not see the real bearing of what is said. Remarks which seem to you very ordinary will produce laughter or irritation and you will not see why — the reason, of course, being that the earlier stages of the conversation have given them a special point. In the same way sentences in a modern book which look quite ordinary may be directed 'at' some other book; in this way you may be led to accept what you would have indignantly rejected if you knew its real significance. The only safety is to have a standard of plain, central Christianity ('mere Christianity' as Baxter called it) which puts the controversies of the moment in their proper perspective. Such a standard can be acquired only from the old books. It is a good rule, after reading a new book, never to allow yourself another new one till you have read an old

² Richard Hooker (c. 1554-1600), an Anglican divine.

³ Joseph Butler (1692-1752), Bishop of Durham.

⁴ Nicolas Berdyaev (1874-1948), a Russian philosopher and author.

⁵ Jacques Maritain (b. 1882), a French Thomist philosopher.

⁶ Reinhold Niebuhr (b. 1892), an American theologian.

⁷ Dorothy L. Sayers (1893-1957), author of several religious plays and many popular detective stories.

one in between. If that is too much for you, you should at least read one old one to every three new ones.

Every age has its own outlook. It is specially good at seeing certain truths and specially liable to make certain mistakes. We all, therefore, need the books that will correct the characteristic mistakes of our own period. And that means the old books. All contemporary writers share to some extent the contemporary outlook — even those, like myself, who seem most opposed to it. Nothing strikes me more when I read the controversies of past ages than the fact that both sides were usually assuming without question a good deal which we should now absolutely deny. They thought that they were as completely opposed as two sides could be, but in fact they were all the time secretly united — united *with* each other and *against* earlier and later ages — by a great mass of common assumptions. We may be sure that the characteristic blindness of the twentieth century — the blindness about which posterity will ask, 'But how *could* they have thought that?' — lies where we have never suspected it, and concerns something about which there is untroubled agreement between Hitler and President Roosevelt⁸ or between Mr H. G. Wells and Karl Barth. None of us can fully escape this blindness, but we shall certainly increase it, and weaken our guard against it, if we read only modern books. Where they are true they will give us truths which we half knew already. Where they are false they will aggravate the error with which we are already dangerously ill. The only palliative is to keep the clean sea breeze of the centuries blowing through our minds, and this can be done only by reading old books. Not, of course, that there is any magic about the past. People were no cleverer then than they are now; they made as many mistakes as we. But not the *same* mistakes. They will not flatter us in the errors we are already committing; and their own errors, being now open and palpable, will not endanger us. Two heads are better than one, not because either is infallible, but because they are unlikely to go wrong in the same direction. To be sure, the books of the future would be just as good a corrective as the books of the past, but unfortunately we cannot get at them.

I myself was first led into reading the Christian classics, almost accidentally, as a result of my English studies. Some,

⁸ This was written in 1943.

such as Hooker, Herbert,⁹ Traherne,¹⁰ Taylor¹¹ and Bunyan,¹² I read because they are themselves great English writers; others, such as Boethius,¹³ St Augustine, Thomas Aquinas and Dante, because they were 'influences'. George MacDonald I had found for myself at the age of sixteen and never wavered in my allegiance, though I tried for a long time to ignore his Christianity. They are, you will note, a mixed bag, representative of many Churches, climates and ages. And that brings me to yet another reason for reading them. The divisions of Christendom are undeniable and are by some of these writers most fiercely expressed. But if any man is tempted to think — as one might be tempted who read only contemporaries — that 'Christianity' is a word of so many meanings that it means nothing at all, he can learn beyond all doubt, by stepping out of his own century, that this is not so. Measured against the ages 'mere Christianity' turns out to be no insipid interdenominational transparency, but something positive, self-consistent, and inexhaustible. I know it, indeed, to my cost. In the days when I still hated Christianity,¹⁴ I learned to recognize, like some all too familiar smell, that almost unvarying *something* which met me, now in Puritan Bunyan, now in Anglican Hooker, now in Thomist Dante. It was there (honeyed and floral) in François de Sales;¹⁵ it was there (grave and homely) in Spenser¹⁶ and Walton;¹⁷ it was there (grim but manful) in Pascal¹⁸ and Johnson;¹⁹ there again, with a mild, frightening, Paradisial flavour, in Vaughan²⁰ and Boehme²¹

⁹ George Herbert (1593-1633), the English poet.

¹⁰ Thomas Traherne (1637-74), an English writer of religious works.

¹¹ Jeremy Taylor (1613-67), an English divine, best known for his *Holy Living* and *Holy Dying*.

¹² John Bunyan (1628-88), best known for his *Pilgrim's Progress*.

¹³ Boethius was born about 470 A.D. and wrote *The Consolation of Philosophy*.

¹⁴ Those who wish to know more about this period should read Lewis's autobiography, *Surprised by Joy* (London, 1955).

¹⁵ François de Sales (1567-1622) is best known for his *Introduction to the Devout Life* and the *Treatise on the Love of God*.

¹⁶ Edmund Spenser (1552?-99), author of *The Faerie Queene*.

¹⁷ Izaak Walton (1593-1683), best known for his *Compleat Angler*.

¹⁸ Blaise Pascal (1623-62), especially noted for his *Pensées*.

¹⁹ Dr Samuel Johnson (1709-84).

²⁰ Henry Vaughan (1622-95), an English poet.

²¹ Jakob Boehme (1575-1624), a German Lutheran theosophical author.

and Traherne. In the urban sobriety of the eighteenth century one was not safe — Law²² and Butler were two lions in the path. The supposed 'Paganism' of the Elizabethans could not keep it out; it lay in wait where a man might have supposed himself safest, in the very centre of *The Faerie Queene* and the *Arcadia*.²³ It was, of course, varied; and yet — after all — so unmistakably the same; recognizable, not to be evaded, the odour which is death to us until we allow it to become life:

an air that kills
From yon far country blows,²⁴

We are all rightly distressed, and ashamed also, at the divisions of Christendom. But those who have always lived within the Christian fold may be too easily dispirited by them. They are bad, but such people do not know what it looks like from without. Seen from there, what is left intact, despite all the divisions, still appears (as it truly is) an immensely formidable unity. I know, for I saw it; and well our enemies know it. That unity any of us can find by going out of his own age. It is not enough, but it is more than you had thought till then. Once you are well soaked in it, if you then venture to speak, you will have an amusing experience. You will be thought a Papist when you are actually reproducing Bunyan, a Pantheist when you are quoting Aquinas, and so forth. For you have now got on to the great level viaduct which crosses the ages and which looks so high from the valleys, so low from the mountains, so narrow compared with the swamps, and so broad compared with the sheeptracks.

The present book is something of an experiment. The translation is intended for the world at large, not only for theological students. If it succeeds, other translations of other great Christian books will presumably follow. In one sense, of course, it is not the first in the field. Translations of the *Theologia Germanica*,²⁵ the *Imitation*,²⁶ the *Scale of Perfection*,²⁷ and

²² William Law (1686-1761), whose *Serious Call to a Devout and Holy Life* much influenced Lewis.

²³ By Sir Philip Sidney (1554-86).

²⁴ A. E. Housman, *A Shropshire Lad* (London, 1896), stanza 40.

²⁵ A late 14th century anonymous mystical treatise.

²⁶ *The Imitation of Christ*, a manual of spiritual devotion first put into circulation in 1418. The authorship has traditionally been assigned to Thomas à Kempis (c. 1380-1471).

the *Revelations* of Lady Julian of Norwich,²⁸ are already on the market, and are very valuable, though some of them are not very scholarly. (But it will be noticed that these are all books of devotion rather than of doctrine. Now the layman or amateur needs to be instructed as well as to be exhorted. In this age his need for knowledge is particularly pressing. Nor would I admit any sharp division between the two kinds of book. For my own part, I tend to find the doctrinal books often more helpful in devotion than the devotional books, and I rather suspect that the same experience may await many others. I believe that many who find that 'nothing happens' when they sit down, or kneel down, to a book of devotion, would find that the heart sings unbidden while they are working their way through a tough bit of theology with a pipe in their teeth and a pencil in their hand.)

This is a good translation of a very great book. St Athanasius has suffered in popular estimation from a certain sentence in the 'Athanasian Creed'.²⁹ I will not labour the point that that work is not exactly a creed and was not by St. Athanasius, for I think it is a very fine piece of writing. The words 'Which Faith except every one do keep whole and undefiled, without doubt he shall perish everlastingly' are the offence. They are commonly misunderstood. The operative word is *keep*; not *acquire*, or even *believe*, but *keep*. The author, in fact, is not talking about unbelievers, but about deserters, not about those who have never heard of Christ, nor even those who have misunderstood and refused to accept Him, but of those who having really understood and really believed, then allow themselves, under the sway of sloth or of fashion or any other invited confusion to be drawn away into sub-Christian modes of thought. They are a warning against the curious modern assumption that all changes of belief, however brought about, are necessarily exempt from blame.³⁰ But this is not my immediate concern. I mention 'the creed (commonly called) of St Athanasius' only to get out of the reader's way what may have been a bogey and to put the true Athanasius in its place.

²⁷ By Walter Hilton (d. 1396), an English mystic.

²⁸ *The Sixteen Revelations of Divine Love* by Lady Julian of Norwich (c. 1342 -after 1413).

²⁹ A profession of faith found in the English Prayer Book.

³⁰ See Hebrews vi. 4 *et seq.*

His epitaph is *Athanasius contra mundum*, 'Athanasius against the world'. We are proud that our country has more than once stood against the world. Athanasius did the same. He stood for the Trinitarian doctrine, 'whole and undefiled', when it looked as if all the civilized world was slipping back from Christianity into the religion of Arius³¹—into one of those 'sensible' synthetic religions which are so strongly recommended today and which, then as now, included among their devotees many highly cultivated clergymen. It is his glory that he did not move with the times; it is his reward that he now remains when those times, as all times do, have moved away.

When I first opened his *De Incarnatione* I soon discovered by a very simple test that I was reading a masterpiece. I knew very little Christian Greek except that of the New Testament and I had expected difficulties. To my astonishment I found it almost as easy as Xenophon; and only a master mind could, in the fourth century, have written so deeply on such a subject with such classical simplicity. Every page I read confirmed this impression. His approach to the Miracles is badly needed today, for it is the final answer to those who object to them as 'arbitrary and meaningless violations of the laws of Nature'.³² They are here shown to be rather the re-telling in capital letters of the same message which Nature writes in her crabbed cursive hand; the very operations one would expect of Him who was so full of life that when He wished to die He had to 'borrow death from others'. The whole book, indeed, is a picture of the Tree of Life—a sappy and golden book, full of buoyancy and confidence. We cannot, I admit, appropriate all its confidence today. We cannot point to the high virtue of Christian living and the gay, almost mocking courage of Christian martyrdom, as a proof of our doctrines with quite that assurance which Athanasius takes as a matter of course. But whoever may be to blame for that it is not Athanasius.

The translator knows so much more Christian Greek than I that it would be out of place for me to praise her version. But it seems to me to be in the right tradition of English trans-

³¹ Arius (c. 250-c. 336), a champion of subordinationist teaching about the Person of Christ.

³² A few years after this was written, Lewis himself wrote an admirable defence of Miracles in his *Miracles: A Preliminary Study* (London, 1947).

lation. I do not think the reader will find here any of that sawdusty quality which is so common in modern renderings from the ancient languages. That is as much as the English reader will notice; those who compare the version with the original will be able to estimate how much wit and talent is presupposed in such a choice, for example, as 'those wiseacres' on the very first page.